Beyond the Threshold: An Actuarial Perspective on Evaluating Climate Adaptation in an Age of Escalating Disasters

Niranjan Rajendran, B.Sc. (Hons)

Any views and ideas expressed in the essays are the author's alone and may not reflect the views and ideas of the Society of Actuaries, the Society of Actuaries Research Institute, Society of Actuaries members, nor the author's employer.

INTRODUCTION: ACTUARIAL RESPONSIBILITY IN AN UNSTABLE CLIMATE REGIME

Actuarial science has traditionally relied on historical experience, stable probability distributions, and the assumption that past outcomes provide a reliable guide to future risk. Climate change fundamentally challenges these assumptions. The surge in climate-related disasters during 2024 and 2025, marked by an unprecedented number of billion-dollar loss events, signals a transition toward a highly volatile and compounding risk environment. Extreme weather events are no longer rare or isolated; they are increasingly frequent, overlapping, and geographically widespread.

This shift creates a critical responsibility for the actuarial profession. While improving catastrophe models and refining risk pricing remain essential, actuaries must also contribute to the systematic evaluation of interventions designed to reduce climate-related losses. Governments, insurers, and communities are investing heavily in climate resilience and adaptation (CRA) initiatives, yet decision-makers often lack rigorous frameworks to assess their effectiveness, cost efficiency, and social impact. This paper argues that actuaries are uniquely positioned to fill this gap.

By integrating forward-looking climate risk, causal evaluation methods, strategic valuation techniques, and equity considerations, actuaries can support evidence-based decisions that strengthen long-term resilience rather than merely reallocating risk.

CLASSIFYING CLIMATE RESILIENCE AND ADAPTATION INITIATIVES

Effective evaluation begins with recognizing that climate adaptation strategies differ in purpose, structure, and impact pathways. Treating all resilience initiatives uniformly risks misinterpretation of their outcomes. This paper adopts a two-dimensional classification to guide actuarial assessment.

PHYSICAL VS. SOCIAL-INSTITUTIONAL INTERVENTIONS

Physical or engineering-based interventions focus on reducing damage by strengthening the built environment. Examples include enhanced building codes, flood barriers, elevated infrastructure, and wind-resistant construction. Their benefits are typically observed through reduced asset damage, lower repair costs, and improved structural performance during extreme events.

Social and institutional interventions, by contrast, enhance the capacity of individuals and communities to prepare for, respond to, and recover from climate stress. These include early warning systems, public health initiatives, insurance mechanisms, and community-based support programs. Their benefits often appear indirectly, through reduced mortality, faster recovery, and lower secondary economic losses.

SHOCK-FOCUSED VS. STRESS-FOCUSED ADAPTATION

Some initiatives are designed to address sudden, high-impact events such as hurricanes or floods. These shock-focused strategies aim to prevent catastrophic failure during extreme episodes. Other initiatives target gradual and persistent pressures such as rising temperatures, sea-level rise, or prolonged drought. These stress-focused strategies support long-term adjustment rather than immediate protection.

Positioning a CRA initiative within this typology is essential, as it determines appropriate metrics, modeling approaches, and evaluation horizons.

QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION BEYOND TRADITIONAL DISCOUNTING

Discounted cash flow analysis remains a foundational tool in actuarial evaluation, but it is often insufficient when applied to climate adaptation. CRA investments involve long time horizons, uncertain hazard trajectories, and irreversible commitments, all of which limit the usefulness of static valuation methods.

Causal Methods for Measuring Effectiveness

To evaluate whether an adaptation initiative truly reduces risk, actuaries must distinguish causation from correlation. Simple before-and-after comparisons may be distorted by changing exposure, economic conditions, or climate trends. More robust quasi-experimental techniques, such as difference-in-differences analysis or matched comparison groups, enable actuaries to isolate the incremental effect of an intervention.

For example, insurance claims from retrofitted properties can be compared with statistically similar non-retrofitted properties to estimate the loss reduction attributable to adaptation measures.

Predictive Analytics and Risk Targeting

Machine learning methods offer powerful tools for identifying vulnerable assets and populations. By combining climate variables, infrastructure characteristics, and socioeconomic indicators, predictive models can highlight areas most likely to experience severe losses. While these techniques may not always provide causal explanations, they are valuable for prioritizing adaptation investments and improving program efficiency.

Strategic Valuation Using Real Options Analysis

Many adaptation projects allow for staged implementation, learning over time, or adjustment as new information emerges. Real options analysis captures this flexibility by treating adaptation investments as strategic choices rather than fixed commitments. Phased infrastructure upgrades or pilot programs may appear costly under conventional valuation but become economically attractive once the value of flexibility and learning is incorporated.

EQUITY AND BEHAVIORAL DIMENSIONS OF ADAPTATION

A purely financial assessment provides an incomplete picture of adaptation effectiveness. CRA initiatives can unintentionally reinforce social inequalities if benefits accrue primarily to affluent communities while vulnerable populations remain exposed.

Actuarial evaluation should therefore include an explicit equity assessment. Issues of affordability, access, and potential risk displacement must be examined. Programs designed to protect high-risk populations,

such as elderly individuals during extreme heat events, demonstrate that social benefits can be both measurable and actuarially significant.

Behavioral factors also influence program success. Even technically effective measures may fail if adoption rates are low due to present bias, perceived inconvenience, or mistrust. Incorporating behavioral assumptions into participation and compliance models improves the realism of projected benefits and can inform more effective program design.

ILLUSTRATIVE CASE STUDIES

Strengthened Building Codes in Louisiana

Following major hurricane losses, Louisiana implemented stricter construction standards to improve wind and flood resistance. Actuarial evaluation balances higher upfront construction costs against long-term reductions in expected losses. Empirical claims data and catastrophe modeling provide evidence that enhanced codes significantly reduce tail risk, benefiting insurers, homeowners, and public finances.

Community-Based Heat Resilience in New York City

New York City's *Be a Buddy* program demonstrates the value of social resilience. By connecting volunteers with vulnerable residents during extreme heat events, the program reduces mortality and emergency healthcare usage. From an actuarial perspective, these outcomes translate into measurable economic and social value, even though benefits extend beyond traditional insurance metrics.

Hurricane-Resilient Communities in Florida

Hurricane-resilient communities in Florida provide opportunities for post-event validation. Comparing actual damage outcomes with those from conventional developments reveals substantial reductions in repair costs and downtime. These results support the case that resilience investments can stabilize insurance markets and enhance long-term property value.

AN INTEGRATED ACTUARIAL FRAMEWORK FOR DECISION-MAKING

A structured approach to evaluating CRA initiatives can be summarized in five steps:

- Classification: Identify the type and objective of the intervention.
- Metric Selection: Define appropriate financial, social, and risk-based indicators.
- Advanced Valuation: Incorporate uncertainty, flexibility, and behavioral response.
- Equity Assessment: Evaluate distributional impacts and systemic effects.
- Communication: Present findings clearly to support informed decision-making.

For the actuarial profession, this implies expanding traditional practice boundaries to include climate-informed data standards, transparent evaluation methods, and innovative resilience-linked financial instruments.

GOVERNANCE, DATA, AND COMMUNICATION CHALLENGES

The climate-driven disasters of 2024 and 2025 represent a structural shift in the global risk landscape. In this environment, actuarial value extends beyond pricing increasingly volatile risks to guiding investments that reduce losses before they occur. Evaluating climate adaptation initiatives requires integrating advanced analytics, strategic thinking, equity considerations, and behavioral insight.

By adopting a broader and forward-looking perspective, actuaries can help reposition resilience as a value-generating investment rather than a discretionary cost. In doing so, the profession can play a central role in shaping a more stable, equitable, and adaptive future under climate uncertainty.

REFERENCES

Homes, F. A. (2025). https://share.google/iQtPv497QgdJCOg60

Louisiana State Uniform Construction Code Council. (2007). *Hurricane Katrina impact report*. https://share.google/Y4PZqJs78f2TfPpqI

National Centers for Environmental Information. (2024). *Billion-dollar weather and climate disasters*. https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/billions/

New York City Mayor's Office of Climate & Environmental Justice. (2018). *Be a Buddy initiative*. https://www.nyc.gov/content/climate/pages/initiatives/be-a-buddy

World Meteorological Organization. (2025). *State of global climate 2024*. https://wmo.int/publication-series/state-of-global-climate/state-of-global-climate-2024

* * * * *

Niranjan Rajendran, B.Sc. (Hons) in Finance and Insurance Mathematics, is an Actuarial Trainee at Allianz Life Insurance Lanka Ltd. He can be reached at rajandranniranjan3@gmail.com.